

REMARKS

Status of Claims

Claims 1, 5-6 and 8-10 are pending and have been rejected by the Examiner. Claim 1 has been amended herein, claims 5-6 and 8-10 are presented again and any other previously pending claims have been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 1, 5-6 and 8-10 are presented and at issue. Reconsideration and allowance of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks are respectfully requested.

The remaining claims were previously rejected (before the present amendment) and those rejections were upheld on Appeal to the Board. To further the prosecution of this application, claim 1 has been amended in light of the Decision on Appeal and the Examiner's Answer to Applicant's Appeal Brief.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a)

Claims 1, 5-6 and 8-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan (U.S. Patent No. 6,665,659) in view of Heninger (U.S. Patent No. 6,470,349). In view of the amendment to claim 1, these rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 has been amended to specifically recite saving a bundled target document as the destination document where the destination document is a final document that includes the target document bundled with contextual data about the source document. In the previously submitted Appeal Brief it was argued that Logan did not teach such a destination document. This argument, however, was disregarded by both the Examiner and the Board because the definition of the term "destination document" was not explicitly recited in the claim. To ensure that this previously presented argument finds basis in the claim, the explicit definition of the destination document has been added.

Logan does not teach or suggest a destination document that includes a target document bundled with contextual data about the source document. The destination document has a target document and contextual data about a different document, a source document. Logan, on the otherhand, teaches only each citation be an XML document, not a target document that has contextual data about another document. As such,

Applicants respectfully assert that claim 1, as amended, is patentable over Logan in combination with Heninger.

Claims 5-6 and 9-10 depend from claim 1 and, therefore, are patentable for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is therefore respectfully requested.

If a communication with Applicant's Attorneys would assist in advancing this case to allowance, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact the undersigned so that any such issues may be promptly resolved.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required for this amendment, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0441. In the event that an extension of time is required, or may be required in addition to that requested in a petition for extension of time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that extension of time that is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an extension of time or credit any overpayment for an extension of time to the above-identified Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

CANTOR COLBURN LLP
Applicant's Attorneys

By: / M. Brad Lawrence /

Dated this 14 day of October, 2008

M. Brad Lawrence
Registration No: 47,210
Customer No. 67232

Address: 20 Church Street, 22nd Floor, Hartford, CT 06103
Telephone: (860) 286-2929

Fax: (860) 286-0115